Life tables and Leslie matrices for mammalian cohorts in different paleobiological contexts during the Pleistocene

Philippe Fernandez, Christophe Bonenfant, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Serge Legendre, Hervé Monchot

Ouvrage du
Groupement de recherches 3591
« Taphonomie, Environnement et Archéologie »,
CNRS-INEE

Sous la direction de
Jean-Philip Brugal

éditions
des archives
contemporaines

Collection Sciences archéologiques

TaphonomieS

TaphonomieS

TaphonomieS

Ouvrage du **Groupement de recherches « Taphonomie, Environnement et Archéologie », CNRS-INEE**

Sous la direction de **Jean-Philip Brugal**

éditions des archives contemporaines

Éditions des archives contemporaines
41, rue Barrault
75013 Paris (France)
www.archivescontemporaines.com

ISBN 9782813002419

Illustration de couverture :

Tissu Kuba en fibres naturelles (appelé aussi *shoowa*, raphias / velours Kasaï), du Zaïre-Congo (Afrique). © photo J.-Ph. Brugal

Avertissement : Les textes publiés dans ce volume n'engagent que la responsabilité de leurs auteurs. Pour faciliter la lecture, la mise en pages a été harmonisée, mais la spécificité de chacun, dans le système des titres, le choix de transcriptions et des abréviations, l'emploi de majuscules, la présentation des références bibliographiques, etc. a été le plus souvent conservée.

Collection « Sciences archéologiques »

dirigée par Philippe Dillmann

Sous le parrainage :

o du réseau CAI-RN (Compétences archéométriques interdisciplinaires – Réseau national) de la Mission pour l'interdisciplinarité du CNRS
http ://archeometrie.cnrs.fr

o du réseau GMPCA (Groupe des méthodes pluridisciplinaires contribuant à l'archéologie)
https ://gmpca.fr

Membres du comité scientifique :

Marie Balasse, Sandrine Baron, Sylvain Bauvais, Ludovic Bellot-Gurlet, Jean-Philip Brugal, Emmanuelle Delqué-Kolic, Eva-Maria Geigl, Estelle Herrscher, Guillaume Hulin, Philippe Lanos, Matthieu Le Bailly, Matthieu Lebon, Joséphine Lesur, François-Xavier Le Bourdonnec, Anne-Solenn Le Hô, Chantal Leroyer, Vivien Mathé, Norbert Mercier, Valérie Merle, Christine Oberlin, Ina Reiche, Martine Regert

Life tables and Leslie matrices for mammalian cohorts in different paleobiological contexts during the Pleistocene

Philippe Fernandez[1], Christophe Bonenfant[2], Jean-Michel Gaillard[2], Serge Legendre[3], Hervé Monchot[4]

[1] UMR 7269 LAMPEA, Aix-en-Provence, Université Aix-Marseille – CNRS – MCC
[2] UMR 5558, Lyon – UCB Lyon 1 – CNRS
[3] UMR 5276, Lyon – UCB Lyon 1 – CNRS
[4] UMR 8167 Labex Resmed – Université Paris-Sorbonne

1 Principles and methods : establishing mortality profiles

For decades studying the Pleistocene human subsistence strategies has been a key area of research in archeology to address the ability or inability of human populations to exploit optimally their prey, and by extension, their environment. To answer this question the theoretical concept of attritional and catastrophic mortality have together emerged in paleontology and zooarchaeological literature, with methodological approaches to establish mortality curves. Some of them were directly rooted in ecological approaches, such as the introduction of life tables by Kurtén [1-5]. Since these pioneering works, new methods based on age-specific sequences of tooth eruption, tooth wear, and crown height now correspond to the main criteria used to assess mammalian mortality curves. As a matter of fact, fossil dental material is better preserved than bones and frequently identifiable to species. Consequently, teeth are very useful for estimating the minimum number of individuals and for constructing mortality curves in order to interpret the fossil demographic structures [6-13].

In zooarchaeology, the most common techniques to assign individual age are based on current tooth eruption and wear sequences, from which each stage is codified starting from known age individuals of domestic species [sheep/goat : 14, 15] or collected in the wild as for example in deer [16-18], Roe deer [19- 21], Ibex or Chamois [22-23] to men-

tion just a few. Unfortunately tooth eruption sequences do not allow distinguishing between adults and older individuals ; the distinction being only possible between juveniles and adults. Furthermore, the visual comparison may be difficult between current species wear sequences and fossil teeth with intermediate dental wear. The problem is more complicated when species are extinct : how to relate them to current representatives ?

Last but not least, the degree of hypsodonty is measured from the height of the crown, usually from the root to the occlusal surface of the tooth and regressed against age using animals of known age. Starting from the work of Kurtén this method was developed by Spinage [24-25] who focused on African taxa (bovids and equids). Similarly, the innovative quadratic method of Klein *et al.* [26], also called QHCM (Crown Heights Quadratic Method), provides a set of quadratic formulae for each tooth, according to his rank, that can be used to predict age-at-death from tooth crown height. QHCM has been applied to many Pleistocene deposits to interpret the exploitation of certain large ungulates by African Hominids [27-31]. However, the main bias of the QHCM is related to the non-linear wear of teeth from different ages [28, 32-35], and, more importantly, to the absence of average prediction error of the estimated age for each type of tooth [36]. This latter study by Fernandez & Legendre offers a model based on a regression analysis of curvilinear type, starting from both age and dental height intervals of known age individuals from the referential of Levine [37]. To each tooth position (*i.e.* P/2, M3/...) corresponds a polynomial equation whose parameters are estimated by bootstrap [38]. The randomisation makes possible to estimate the individual age of teeth and its standard deviation with median values of regression coefficients (slope, intercept, coefficient of determination) [36, tabl. 5, 39, fig. 1). This model was applied to different Pleistocene and Holocene equid populations [40-45].

We present here (Figure 1, A to D), a step-by-step procedure to estimate the age distribution from a hypothetical P4/ of fossil horse. This example clearly shows that the model resolution is based on a reliable prediction error of median age to derive appropriate age class intervals in order to properly distribute age frequencies. Indeed, it appears that no ageing method based on dental material allows obtaining exact absolute ages because individuals vary in the age of achievement of a given stage [46]. By ruling out the distribution information around the mean or median age, we gain a false sense of statistical power about statements based on absolute age. Consequently, it is crucial to reach a correct distribution of individual ages rather than focusing on an exact age [47].

Finally, it appears in all these approaches that the lifetime, the eruption and the tooth wear sequences can only be derived from current domesticated species or from wild representatives of fossil species. This analogy may lead to "mimicry age", where the estimated age structure partly resembles that of the reference population [48]. Moreover, it is always difficult to assess the degree of abrasion induced by the diet that determines tooth wear [49]. Nevertheless, dental meso-wear [50-51] or micro-wear analyses are highly relevant to determinate the proportion of grass, twigs, or fruit in the diet of extinct species [52-53]. Regardless of the ageing method, this approach is still underused although it recently provided information about changes in seasonal

equid diet and the presence of one or several horse cohorts through time in Schöningen 13 II-4 [54], both using isotopic analyses.

2 Attritional and catastrophic "models" : theoretical and practical limits

As mentioned before, theoretical mortality of mammalian population is often associated to two main models in zooarchaeology. Attritional model is characterized by a U-shaped profile because young and old animals die off more often than prime adults. From an ecological viewpoint, this is mostly explained by the occurrence of combined effects of neo-natal mortality, epizooty, human and carnivore predation or intraspecific competition for resources. The combination of all these factors affects the most vulnerable age groups through time.

Conversely, the mass mortality also called "catastrophic", reflects the age distribution of the living "population" in which the frequency of individuals in each age group decreases regularly, exhibiting an L-shaped profile. Natural disasters such as floods [55], bush fires [56] or volcanic eruptions [57, 58] are natural events that characterize this punctual type of mortality.

However, fossil age structures mixed through time may result in different patterns than these two theoretical opposite types of mortality. Furthermore, different species can only be compared when age intervals are of similar duration and when lifespans are identical, which is rarely the case. That is why Kurtén [1, 2] in paleontology, Spinage [59] in ecology, and Klein [27] in zooarchaeology, were among the first in their field to standardize interval classes of ages of equal duration, each one representing a percentage of the maximum lifespan for a given species. Morever, in order to link fossil assemblage to attritional or catastrophic model, Klein & Cruz-Uribe [30] proposed to test frequencies (D Kolmogorov- Smirnov) of each age group with those of living species whose individual ages and type of death (natural or catastrophic) are known. This was validated starting from a cohort of known age wapiti after the volcanic eruption of the Mont St. Helens in 1980 [58]. Later on, Lyman, Byers & Hill [60], used Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficient to estimate the strength of the relationship between the observed dataset and the expected mortality under the model.

Many methods exist for constructing and comparing pleistocene mortality profiles ([reviewed in 39 and 61]). Greenfield [62-63] was the first to use triangular graph also called ternary diagram to understand better the variation in the frequencies of immatures, sub-adults, and adults in herd management for the Neolithic. As a result of the work carried out by Vigne & Helmer [64], culling profiles are now commonly represented by histograms in which the frequencies of each age class are proportional to the area of each bin (see discussion in Brochier [65]).

For the Pleistocene assemblages, the ternary diagram was developed by Stiner [66]. Two major scenarios were related to a living/catastrophic age structure (the right-of-center portion of the graph) and to an attritional mortality (the left-of-center portion). The areas and limits of each have been reassessed, first by bootstrap resampling [67]

A. **Crown height measurement from the P4/ of a fossil horse**



B. **Median values of the regression coefficients for each tooth category. (a_0) = slope; (a_1, a_2, a_3) = intercept; (E) = mean prediction error; (R^2) = determination coefficient. From Fernandez & Legendre [36, Tab. 5].**

Teeth	a_0	a_1	a_2	a_3	E	R^2
P2/	28.290625	-1.028377	0.019429	-0.000141	0.544	0.986
P3/	33.658749	-1.041913	0.015383	-0.000087	0.257	0.993
P4/	40.593780	-1.318328	0.018488	-0.000096	0.494	0.986
P3-4/	25.617811	-0.406405	0.001078	0.000009	1.566	0.971
M1/	35.572249	-1.064404	0.013784	-0.000066	0.389	0.990
M2/	41.143669	-1.312885	0.018273	-0.000095	0.534	0.986
M3/	40.634788	-1.482155	0.023170	-0.000128	0.532	0.985
M1-2/	32.599580	-0.870571	0.010151	-0.000046	1.119	0.980
P/2	23.931106	-0.940985	0.020425	-0.000174	0.636	0.982
P/3	37.758397	-1.447331	0.024167	-0.000141	0.414	0.988
P/4	46.789425	-1.766535	0.027637	-0.000153	1.343	0.959
P/3-4	29.285176	-0.835852	0.011519	-0.000061	1.123	0.975
M/1	36.176726	-1.309214	0.020176	-0.000110	0.827	0.980
M/2	36.936030	-1.236690	0.018852	-0.000105	0.366	0.990
M/3	36.102387	-1.203143	0.018695	-0.000110	0.652	0.981
M/1-2	32.587336	-0.927905	0.011631	-0.000056	1.691	0.974

Given a crown height (H, in mm) the equation for age (in year) is: Age=$a_0+a_1H+a_2H^2+a_3H^3$

C. **Estimation of median, minimum and maximum age (year) from the P4/ with Height measurement (H)=31.7 mm**

Minimum age	Median age	Maximum age
14.32 – (2 x 0.494) = 13.33	$a_0 + a_1H + a_2H^2 + a_3H^3$ = 14.32	14.32 + (2 x 0.494) = 15.31

D. **Distribution of the age of P4/ in each age class**

			Age class in years					
Min. age	Med. age	Max. age	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	15-18
13.33	14.32	15.31					**0.84 (1)**	**0.16 (2)**

(1) = **1.67/1.98** that is to say **0.84**% into the age class of 12-15 years
(2) = **0.31/1.98** that is to say **0.16**% into the age class of 15-18 years



Figure 1 – Step-by-step procedure (A to D) to estimate the age distribution from a hypothetical P4/ of a fossil horse. Modified from Fernandez & Legendre [36, tabl. 5] and Fernandez [39, fig. 1]

and then by a likelihood approach that better takes into account when any of the age classes have counts of zero [68]. So far, ternary diagram remains very popular in Pleistocene zooarchaeological studies by allowing in a single graph, the comparison of multiple inter- or intra-specific age structures and to relate them to attritional and catastrophic mortality types. That's why recently Discamps & Costamagno [69] described four zones within the ternary diagram to interpret better the relative proportions of 7 common species.

Studies by Nimmo [70] and Lyman [57] put in light long ago the difficulty of interpreting specific human hunting strategies and natural mortality based on these two types of theoretical patterns. For example the minimum sample size required for a reliable detection of mortality patterns will depend on the life expectancy of the considered taxon [57]. More generaly, taphonomic processes often involve natural differential preservation of ageing elements that may lead to the misinterpretation of mortality curves and their related patterns. The frequency of ageing elements should be seen in light of their particular paleobiological contexts (open area, cave, den), their conditions of preservation (desertic : [71], periglacial : [72], temperate : [73]), human behavior (transport decision : [74-75], primary and secondary carnivores impact [76-77], as well as species ethology [37, 78-79].

In short, in zooarchaeological Pleistocene studies, mammal mortality curves are almost always established from dental material : the aim is to estimate the sum of individual ages to interpret them as an entire population. This raises the crucial issue of the multiple vs. unique time-deposit that corresponds to a strong assumption when interpreting the frequencies of dead animals. Considering the potential weakness of attritional or catastrophic mortality patterns and the fact that some current ecological models do allow taking into account multiple cohorts and/or single individuals over time, we present in the following a new approach using life tables and Leslie matrices to characterise stable age-structure of mammalian fossil populations.

3 Discussion : life tables and Leslie matrices

3.1 Presentation of life tables

Life tables were primarily introduced to biologists to determine the lifetime of insects [80]. They are constructed from observations of age structure of animals sampled as dead (d_x) in paleobiological contexts or/and as alive (l_x) in ecological field analyses. This approach was popularized to estimate age-specific survival rates of current mammal and bird populations by Deevey [81]. Two main models are employed according the sampling and the nature of the population : age-specific or dynamic (horizontal or longitudinal) ; static or time-specific (vertical or transversal).

The "dynamic" or "cohort" life tables consist in following all the individuals of a single cohort in a population from birth to death. The method depends on the recapture of marked individuals for mobile species and individuals should be monitored from birth to death. This "horizontal/longitudinal" life table technique is not well suited for the study of long-lived individuals and inappropriate with fossil samples. However the "static" or "time-specific" life tables are established from individuals of all ages

belonging to different cohorts. Then "vertical/transversal" life tables represent random samples of individuals and their distribution should reflect the whole population.

In this study we will focus on the latter that has been fully or partly used in both mammalian paleontological and archaeological contexts [1, 2, 5, 82-89, 36, 41, 43, 90-91].

Most importantly, time specific model allows taking into account multiple fossil deposits through time. However, the validity of the life table analysis requires some strong assumptions to be fulfilled. For example, vertebrate population analyses have involved a female-dominant model, such that only demographic parameters of females are considered [92]. However it is widely accepted that male survival does not affect the population growth rate of most natural mammalian populations. Consequently the model can be used in a legitimate and appropriate manner assuming a balanced sex-ratio at birth and measuring the fecundity rate as the number of daughters per female as half the number of offspring produced alive at birth by a female of a given age. A stationary age structure through time is required except for the time-specific model, with constant survival and fecundity rates for the different cohorts [93-97]. It is also assumed that the age structure of the sample corresponds to a local population in the absence of immigration and emigration, that is to say that migration flows are random and did not modify the sample [98, 99]. We should keep in mind that in current ecological studies these strict assumptions are unlikely to be met in any population of wild mammals because opportunities to monitor entire cohorts for long periods of time are unlikely [100-102]. Time-specific life table and indices are explained below (see Table 1 for example) :

- (x) refers either to "age interval" or "age class" (in months, year or any time interval). There is often confusion between them. Let's retain that according to Caswell [103] the former begins at 0 and the latter at 1.
- (d_x) refers to the proportion of individuals of the whole cohort(s) dying between age x and $x+1$. This is typically the MNI. It can be derived from the variable (l_x) series by :

$$d_x = (l_x - l_{x+1})$$

- (l_x) refers to cumulated survivorship and corresponds to the fraction of a cohort that survives to an age interval x. The first value can be expressed per 1, 100 or 1000 :

$$l_{x+1} = (l_x - d_x)$$

In standardized life table with regular pooled age-class intervals (see example in Table 2) where d_x is not available the calculation by algebraic relation with (s_x) defined below in the text is simply :

$$l_{x+1} = s_x * l_x$$

As we can see in Figure 2, when l_x values are plotted on a logarithmic scale (y-axis) with standardized lifespan (x-axis), the survival curves obtained have the remarkable property of being similar for most mammal species despite the variation of their size

or their longevity [98]. This is also true for survival rates of other animal groups, which allows the identification of three main types of mortality. The comparison is then possible to a specific or an interspecific level. Type I, characteristic of most mammals, clearly shows that survivorship is relatively low in juveniles, becomes high and stable during adult primes, and then finally declined with a half-bell shape at old ages (senescent stage). Type II characterizes essentially small birds or raptors and is characterized by a quite constant survival over the lifetime. Type III is mainly associated with fish and marine vertebrates and shows survival rates that are low during early ages and prime ages, and then high throughout the rest of the lifetime, which generates an L-shape profile [93].



FIGURE 2 – Survivorship curves from stable populations (log l_x) according to the zoological group. Data are from Fernandez *et al.* [41] modified from Krebs [94] and Ricklefs [95].

- (q_x) indicates the mortality rates during the interval x to $x+1$. It is the ratio of d_x and l_x :

$$q_x = [d_x/(l_x)] * 100$$

- (s_x) refers to age-specific survival rate. Proportion of individuals surviving from age x to $x+1$:

$$s_x = [l_{x+1}/l_x] * 100$$

- (e_x) refers to life expectancy in each age class. Unlike the other columns, life expectancy deals with the intermediate calculation of T_x (total years lived for all individuals) and L_x (average individual number alive in age class) which are purely intermediate step without real biological meaning. Thus life expectancy is as follow :

$$e_x = T_x/l_x$$

where $T_x = \sum L_x$ and $L_x = (l_x + l_{x+1})/2$

- (k_x) also designated as killing power or killing factor is another measure of mortality between successive age class. By summing individual values $(\sum k_x)$, one obtains an additive index that indicates the "intensity" of mortality that may be generated by one or different factors (*g.* predation), calculation is as follows :

$$k_x = |\log_{10}(d_{x+1}) - \log_{10}(d_x)|$$

- (m_x) is the number of female offspring per female of age x (called fecundity rate).
- $(l_x m_x)$ is the contribution of a given age class to the population reproduction. It is simply the product of l_x and the m_x
- (R_0) is the net reproductive rate of the cohort. It corresponds to the mean lifetime reproductive success in the population. When $R_0 < 1$ the population is declining, meaning that animals of the cohorts are not replacing themselves. $R_0 > 1$ indicates an increasing population, while $R_0 = 1$ means a perfect stable stationary population :

$$R_0 = \sum l_x m_x$$

- The way to transform survival frequencies related to successive irregular age intervals and to distribute them into regular age class intervals is crucial and remains largely underused in zooarchaeological studies (see Caughley [98] for a full treatment of standardization). We present here how to pool age classes in regular intervals and calculate age-specific survival rates (s_x). Starting from an original life table data of fossil *H. refossa* (Geula, Israel, [90]), we detailed in the Table 2, a step-by-step example.

Table 1 – Example of time-specific life table based on the collection of dead animals with the key demographic parameters (see details for meaning and calculation in text).

Age class (x)	Frequency (d_x)	Survival rate (l_x)	Mortality rate (q_x)	Life expectancy				Killing power (k_x)	Fecundity rate	
				(s_x)	(e_x)	(L_x)	(T_x)		(m_x)	($l_x m_x$)
	$(l_x - l_{x+1})$	$l_{x+1} = (l_x - d_x)$	$d_x/(l_x)$	l_{x+1}/l_x	t_x/l_x	$(l_x + l_{x+1})/2$	$\sum L_x$	$\lvert \log_{10}(d_{x+1}) - \log_{10}(d_x) \rvert$		
0	45	100	0.450	0.550	1.870	77.5	187.0	0.653	0	0.000
1	10	55	0.181	0.818	1.991	50.0	109.5	0.096	1.2	0.660
2	8	45	0.177	0.822	1.322	41.0	59.5	0.204	0.9	0.405
3	5	37	0.135	-	0.500	18.5	18.5	(1.000)	-	-
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
								$\Sigma(k_x) = 0.954$	$R_0 = \Sigma(l_x m_x) = 1.065$	

Table 2 – Example of original life table of *Hystrix refossa* (A) and standardization with regular age classes intervals with calculation of survival rates. (Data from Monchot *et al.* [90].)

A. Original life table with raw data (in months)

Age interval (x) in months	l_x	s_x	
0	1.000	**0.690**	if $S_{0\text{-}5}$ = **0.690**
5	0.690	**0.825**	and $S_{5\text{-}8}$ = **0.825** thus we easily get $S_{0\text{-}8}$ = **0.690*0.825** = 0.56925.
8	0.569	**0.818**	We also know $S_{8\text{-}18}$ = **0.818** and we have to consider an interval of 10 months to get $\sqrt[10]{0.818}$ = 0.9801112
18	0.466	0.815	Then $S_{8\text{-}12} = (0.9801112)^4 = 0.9227869$.
30	0.379	0.545	
48	0.207	0.000	
144	0.000	0.000	

B. Standardized life table (in years)

Age interval (x) in years	l_x	s_x	
0	1.000	**0.525**	Thus in standardized life table (B) we find $S_{0\text{-}1}$ year = 0.56925*0.9227869 = **0.525**. So on, to find subsquent values.
1	0.525	0.800	To estimate the fraction of the cohort surviving (l_x) during age interval x to $_{x+1}$, the calculation is simply $l_{x+1} = s_x \times l_x$
2	0.420	0.737	
3	0.310	0.667	
4	0.206	0.667	
5	0.138	0.667	
6	0.092	0.667	
7	0.061	0.667	
8	0.041	0.667	
9	0.027	0.667	
10	0.018	0.667	
11	0.012	0.667	
12	0.008	0.667	

3.2 Presentation of Leslie matrices

In paleobiological contexts, Leslie matrices have only been used or even mentioned until recently in a very few works ([43], [90], [104-108]). However, they have become a standard in population ecology ([103], [109]) due to their long-established use since the works of Bernardelli [110], Lewis [111] and the most accomplished studies of age-structured model of population growth by Leslie [112, 113]. Later on, Lefkovitch [114] introduced developmental stages rather than ages and Usher [115] developed a size-structured model. We present here the simplest matrix projection model also called Lewis-Leslie :

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} m_0 & m_1 & m_2 & \cdots & m_{12} \\ S_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & S_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & S_{11} & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A} * \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} n_0(t) \\ n_1(t) \\ n_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ n_{12}(t) \end{pmatrix}}_{n(t)} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} n_0(t+1) \\ n_1(t+1) \\ n_2(t+1) \\ \vdots \\ n_{12}(t+1) \end{pmatrix}}_{n(t+1)}$$

Matrix A is constructed with the same age-specific fecundity rates (m_0, $m_1 \ldots$) as in the life tables. The diagonal of the matrix contains age-specific survival rate (S_0, $S_1 \ldots$) corresponding to the values of s_x in our life tables. The state vector $n(t)$ is derived from the (l_x) as the fraction of the total number of individuals. The projection of the matrix, with the state vector $n(t)$ gives a new vector $n(t+1)$, which is simply the new number of individuals in each age interval at the next succeeding census of the projection interval such as : $An(t) = n(t+1)$

In addition to the above, the model is based on three strong assumptions :

- age is a discrete variable where the first value is 0, is divided into age classes numbered from 1 to n ;
- the time is regarded as a discrete variable. The time is denoted t, and the time step projection is $t+1$;
- the time step projection is strictly equal to the duration of each age class interval. This implies that from t to $t+1$ all surviving individuals move to the next age class.

Let's give an example of this theoretical model starting from the demographic parameters of *E. achenheimensis* recovered on the natural middle Pleistocene swallow-hole of Romain-la-Roche (Doubs, France) [43]. Using the software PopTools developed by Hood [116], we first entered data into a pre-breeding [1] Leslie matrix [102]. In the Figure 3-A, the first line of our matrix contains the fecundity rates multiplied by juvenile survival (*i.e.* S_0), and the survival rates from S_1 onward are entered on the sub-diagonal in order to be multiplied by the state vector. The matrix returns the dominant eigenvalue (λ) also known as the asymptotic growth rate (λ) and r, the exponential or continuous growth rate, which is simply the natural logarithm of λ, in

1. This is the basic model used for mammals where cohorts are censuse just before they breed as opposed to post-breeding model (see details in Caswell [103]).

our example $r = \ln(0.9869) = -0.013$ [2]. Decreasing populations are characterized by negative values of r, corresponding to lambda values less than 1. Conversely, increasing populations have positive r-values, and asymptotic growth rate greater than 1 (see details in [94]). In our example, considering $\lambda = 0.9869$ and $r = -0.013$, the horse population of Romain-la-Roche was likely going extinct (less than 2 individuals at t_{297}) (Figure 3-B). In our projections, if we divide the entire population distribution (n) at time t_{+1} by the members of the preceding age-class, we obtain quotients that converge to the dominant eigenvalue of the matrix, which is 0.9869. The lambda is the ratio of the population size in one year relative to that in the preceding year [97]. In our example, population changes in number by a factor of $\lambda = 0.9869$ (98.69%) from one year to the next. In other words, the entire population will decrease by more than 1% per year (0.0131%). Works on different current populations of wild Equids indicate that when they evolve in optimal conditions the λ is comprised between 1.21 and 1.26 [117-118 with $\lambda = 1.21$]; [119 with $\lambda = 1.26$]; [120-121 where $\lambda = 1.22$]. We should note that the early fluctuations of λ, the so-called transient dynamics, are due to initial conditions of the demographic parameters of the age distribution. For instance, in going from time t_3 to t_4, the projection of the cohorts is of 0.9884%. If we look now to t_{19} including the following years, the lambda is stabilized to the dominant eigenvalue of the matrix. The damping ratio [3] ($\rho = \lambda_1/|\lambda_2|$) describes how quickly the transient dynamics is stabilized after perturbation around the asymptotic growth rate regardless of population structure; the larger the ρ (always more than 1), the quicker the population converges [102]. Surprisingly, in our example $\rho = 1.245$ is not very much larger than 1 suggesting that the stable size distribution is not reached very quickly.

Demographic rates in paleobiological contexts are inferred from current species, then it is of great interest to generate noise in the matrix or to explore different hypotheses by changing values such as m_0, m_1, S_0, S_1... In other words, how much would λ respond to a 10 or 20% change in survival or in fecundity rates? Elasticity [4] or sensitivity analyses answer this question since they have become a standard practice in population dynamics [122-123]. Elasticity is a normalized dimensionless sensitivity as a response to a proportional perturbation. We will focus here on the former, which is easier to interpret because it is scaled to 1.

2. Then $P{=}P_0 e^{rt}$, where P_0 is the initial population, r is the continuous growth rate, and t is time. In our example :
$P = P_0(0.9869)^t = P_0 e^{rt}$
$(0.9869)^t = e^{rt} = (e^r)^t$
$0.9869 = e^r$

3. The calculation was made with R version 3.2.3 with package "popdemo". Theoretical details of the calculation of the damping ratio with the subdominant eigenvalue $_2$ go well beyond our paper (for details see Caswell [103, p. 95]).

4. We will not delve into matrix formulations in detail here (see Caswell [103, Chapter 9]) and we will only focus in this study on elasticity that can be formalized such as :
$e_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial a_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \log \lambda}{\partial \log a_{ij}}$ where e_{ij} is just the slope of log λ plotted against log a_{ij}.



FIGURE 3 – Leslie matrix with survival from 1 year of age (values in diagonal) and fecundity rates times juvenile survival (value in the first row) with the state vector (value on the right) of *Equus achenheimensis* (A). Time-step projections of the population with the geometric growth rate (B) and their graphical overview. (Data from Fernandez & Boulbes [43], Table 3.)

A. Leslie matrix with fecundity and survival rates of *Hystrix refossa*. Data from Monchot *et al.* [124]

0.000	**0.250**	**0.563**	**0.693**	**0.693**	**0.693**	**0.693**	**0.693**	**0.693**	**0.693**	**0.693**	**0.693**	**0.693**
0.525	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	**0.800**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	**0.737**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.667**	0.000

Original Geometric growth rate (λ) = 1.0001.
If we increase by 20% the first year survivorship [0.525 becomes now 0.630], therefore new λ = 1.0391. However an increase of 20% of the first fecundity rate [0.250 becomes 0.300] gives λ = 1.0333 (see explanations in the text)

Changing vital rates...

B. Elasticity matrix with 1% perturbation

0.000	**0.228**	**0.051**	**0.046**	**0.031**	**0.020**	**0.014**	**0.009**	**0.006**	**0.004**	**0.003**	**0.002**	**0.001**
0.215	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	**0.187**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	**0.136**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.090**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.059**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.039**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.025**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.016**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.010**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.006**	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.003**	0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	**0.001**	0.000

Perturbation analysis...

C. Elasticity values (*eij*) of fecundity and survival rates



The sum of the elasticities (*eij*) is 1

FIGURE 4 – (A) changing vital rates from the original Leslie matrix of *Hystrix refossa* ; (B) perturbation analysis with elasticity Matrix ; (C) proportional effect of changes on survival and fecundity rates (see explanation in text ; data from Monchot *et al.* [90])

Let's take the matrix of the fossil porcupine *H. refossa* (Figure 4-A) from the natural shelter of Geula cave (Mount Carmel, Israel) [124]. The dominant eigenvalue of the matrix is $\lambda = 1.0001$ showing a perfect stability through the deposits of the different cohorts of porcupines and suggesting a non-impacted population. Suppose that we increase by 20% the first survival rate S_0=0.525 being now 0.630, then new growth rate is 1.0391 (increased by 3.9%). Let's do the same with the original fecundity rate $m_1 = 0.250$ being now 0.300 corresponding to new $\lambda = 1.0333$ (increased by 3.3%). It appears that the population lambda is more sensitive to changes in the first year survivorship than to changes of fecundity rate from the first reproduction. In our example it is possible to display elasticity values in a new matrix to each of the matrix entry (Figure 4-B). The results clearly show that a given change in young

and adult survival would have a greater effect on λ than fecundity (Figure 4-C). This perturbation analysis indicates that a 1% increase in the survivorship of the first age class leads to increase the lambda by 0.21%, ten times more than the corresponding fecundity that would lead to increase the λ by 0.02%. In our field, it is not trivial to consider this approach because it gives trends on how λ evolves for different species.

To summarise, and as if we were in the cave counting the last individual, elasticity of *H. refossa* indicates that the most critical vital rate to impact the age structure was the survivorship of young and adults. This is also the case in modern populations of large herbivores [125]. Elasticity analysises are consequently very powerful in wildlife management to identify what demographic rates are the most inferential in the population dynamics and the evolution of life histories (see for example r or K selection theory : [126-127]).

4 Conclusion

Giving step-by-step examples we showed that estimating dental individual ages, and then, establishing their distribution in appropriate age class intervals according to prediction error of median age provide an efficient way to construct mortality curves. We questioned the two dominant theoretical types of mortality, attritional *vs* catastrophic that are usually considered as key components to describe fossil age structures in zooarchaeological literature. With minor exceptions, and whatever the methodological approach, all fossil age structures are submitted to taphonomic biases (*s.l.* natural, anthropic or carnivore modifications) so that only a fraction of the original paleontological or archaeological assemblage is recovered. Furthermore if the deposit conditions (*i.e.* unique or multiple assemblage) are not known precisely, then U- and L-shape mortality and especially intermediate patterns cannot accurately be associated to specific human/carnivore food behaviour tactics. For example does it make sense to interpret different fossil age structures mixed through time that are not consequently related to one single population of predators ? We examined in detail how the use of time specific model provided standard demographic parameters to assess mammalian mortality.

The remarkable properties of life table components (see above l_x, q_x, s_x, e_x, k_x, R_0, etc.) offer multiple possibilities to compare fossil age structures between species from different zoological groups and contexts. Moreover, current mammal life tables whose causes of death are known as well as demographic parameters may help control for some biases in the fossil assemblages. Finally, on the basis of different case studies we presented a simple Leslie matrix model that admit multiple cohorts and allow to answer central issues such as is the sum of their different age structures reflect a stationary and stable population at a given time ? Which demographic parameters are the most sensitive to the final state of the population ? This prerequisite is essential in natural paleontological contexts (carnivore dens, pitfall/aven, etc.) as well as in anthropic sites. We showed that Leslie matrices gave both the picture of the initial fossil sample and the projection of the entire population according to original demographic parameters. This may seem counterintuitive in our field but this is the way

to characterize the state of a population and its viability in a population dynamics context.

Finally, we showed that the model could provide suppleness by performing perturbation analysis (*e.g.* sensitivity/elasticity) in order to identify in each age class which demographic component (survivorship, fecundity) was potentially the most sensitive to influence the population growth.

To conclude, the review of methodological different approaches in zooarchaeological studies indicates that our community failed to normalize mortality patterns for Pleistocene mammal species. It appeared that all paleobiological contexts are submitted to multiple taphonomical biases including natural or animal modifications that affect original samples size. With few exceptions with clear evidence of unique deposit and contemporaneity of all individuals, assumption of different cohorts/individuals through time should be made to interpret mammalian age structures. In this way current ecological models such as life table and Leslie matrices are appropriate to estimate crucial demographic parameters of fossil age structures.

References

[1] B. Kurtén, Age groups fossil in mammals. Societas Scientiarum Fennica, Commentationes Biologicae, XIII, 1-6, 1953.

[2] B. Kurtén, On the variation and population dynamics of fossil and recent mammal populations. Acta Zoologica Fennica, 76, 5-122, 1953.

[3] B. Kurtén, Population dynamics : a new method in palaeontology. Journal of Paleontology. 28, 286-292, 1954.

[4] B. Kurtén, Life and death of the Pleistocene Cave Bear. Acta Zoologica fennica, 95, 1-59, 1958

[5] B. Kurtén, Variation and dynamics of a fossil antelope population. Paleobiology, 9, 62-69, 1983

[6] C. Reher, G. C. Frison, The Vore site, 48CK302, a stratified buffalo jump in the Wyoming Black Hills. Plains Anthropologist, 16, 1-190, 1980.

[7] A. Grant, "The use of tooth wear as a guide to the age of domestic Ungulates", *in* Ageing and sexing animal bones from archaeological sites, eds. B. Wilson, C. Grigson, S. Payne, British Archaeological Reports, International Series, 109, Oxford, p. 91-108.

[8] B. Wilson, C. Grigson, S. Payne, Ageing and sexing animal bones from archaeological sites, Ed. British Archaeological Reports, International Series, 109, Oxford, 268 p., 1983.

[9] H. Koike, N. Ohtaishi, Prehistoric hunting pressure estimated by the age composition of excavated Sika deer (*Cervus nippon*) using the annual layer of tooth cement. Journal of Archaeological Science, 12, 443-456, 1985.

[10] M. C. Stiner, The use of mortality patterns in archaeological studies of hominid predatory adaptations. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, 9, 305-351, 1990.

[11] M. C. Stiner, Human Predator and Prey Mortality. Westview Press, Boulder, 276 p., 1991.

[12] M. C. Stiner, Honor Among Thieves : a Zooarchaeological Study of Neandertal Ecology, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 447 p., 1994.

[13] T. E. Steele, Variation in mortality profiles of Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*) in Middle Palaeolithic assemblages from Western Europe. International Journal of Osteoarchaeology, 14, 307-320, 2004.

[14] S. Payne, Kill-off Patterns in Sheep and Goats : the Mandibles from Aşvan Kale. Anatolian Studies, 23, 281-303, 1973.

[15] P. J. Munson, Age-correlated Differential Destruction of Bones and its Effect on Archaeological Mortality Profiles of Domestic Sheep and Goats. Journal of Archaeological Science, 27, 391-407, 2000.

[16] V. P. W. Lowe, Teeth as indicators of age with special reference to Red Deer (*Cervus elaphus*) of known age from Rhum. Journal of Zoology, 152, 137-153, 1967.

[17] P. H. Riglet, « Contribution à l'étude de l'âge du Cerf élaphe (*Cervus elaphus*) », Thèse de l'École nationale vétérinaire d'Alfort, 104, 75 pages, 1977.

[18] J. P. Briot, D. Voilquin, « Contribution à l'étude comparative de l'usure dentaire en fonction du biotope chez *Cervus elaphus* (Cerf noble) », Thèse de 3éme cycle, Université de Nancy, 230 pages, 1986.

[19] M. Paulus, « Contribution à l'étude de l'âge du Chevreuil (*Capreolus capreolus*) d'après les dents », Thèse de l'École nationale vétérinaire d'Alfort, n° 43, 105 pages, 1973.[20] J. P. Mauries, « Contribution à l'étude de la biologie et du comportement du Chevreuil (*Capreolus capreolus*), Thèse de l'École nationale vétérinaire d'Alfort, 79, 118 pages, 1979.

[21] G. Van Laere, J. M. Boutin, J. M. Gaillard, Estimation de l'âge chez le Chevreuil (*Capreolus capreolus*) par l'usure dentaire : Test de fiabilité sur des animaux marqués. Gibier Faune Sauvage, 6, 417-426, 1989.

[22] M. A. J. Couturier, Le chamois, Editions Arthaud, Grenoble, 855 pages, 1938.

[23] M. A. J. Couturier, Détermination de l'âge du Bouquetin des Alpes (*Capra aegagrus ibex ibex*) à l'aide des dents et des cornes. Mammalia, 26, 453-461, 1962.

[24] C. A. Spinage, Geratodontology and horn growth of the Impala (*Aepyceros melampus*). Journal of Zoology, 164, 209-225, 1971.

[25] C. A. Spinage, African Ungulate life tables. Ecology, 53, 645-652, 1972.

[26] R. G Klein, C. Wolf, L. G. Freeman, K. Allwarden, The use of dental crown heights for constructing age profiles of red deer and similar species in archaeological samples. Journal of Archaeological Science, 8, 1-31, 1981.

[27] R. G. Klein, Age (mortality) profiles as means of distinguishing hunted species from scavenged ones in Stone Age archaeological sites. Paleobiology, 8, 151-158, 1982a.

[28] R. G. Klein, Patterns of ungulates mortality profiles from Langebaanweg (Early Pliocene) and Elandsfontein (Middle Pleistocene), south western Cape province, South Africa. Annals of the South African Museum, 90, 49-94, 1982b.

[29] R. G. Klein, K. Allwarden, C. Wolf, "The calculation and interpretation of ungulate age profiles from dental crown heights". *In* Hunter-gatherer economy in prehistory : a european perspective, eds. G. Bailey, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 47-57, 1983.

[30] R. G. Klein, K. Cruz-Uribe, The computation of ungulates age (mortality) profiles from dental crown heights. Paleobiology, 9, 70-78, 1983.

[31] R. G. Klein, G. Avery, K. Cruz-Uribe, T. E. Steele (2007) - The mammalian fauna associated with an archaic hominin skullcap and later Acheulean artifacts at Elandsfontein, Western Cape Province, South Africa. Journal of Human Evolution, 52, 164-186, 2007.

[32] D. Gifford-Gonzalez, "Examining and refining the quadratic crown height method of age estimation". *In* Human predators and prey mortality, ed. M. C. Stiner, Westview press, Boulder, p. 41-78, 1991.

[33] A. Pike-Tay, C. A. Morcomb, M. O'Farrell, Reconsidering the quadratic crown height method of age estimation for *Rangifer* from archaeological sites. Archaeozoologia, 11, 145-174, 2000.

[34] P. Fernandez, « Etude paléontologique et archéozoologique des niveaux d'occupations moustériens au Bau de l'Aubesier (Monieux, Vaucluse) : implications biochronologiques et palethnologiques », Thèse de Doctorat, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, 286 pages, 2001.

[35] T. E. Steele, D. Weaver, The modified triangular graph : a refined method for comparing mortality profiles in archaeological samples. Journal of Archaeological Science, 29, 317-322, 2002.

[36] P. Fernandez, S. Legendre, Mortality curves for horses from the Middle Palaeolithic site of Bau de l'Aubesier (Vaucluse, France) : methodological, palaeo-ethnological, and palaeoecological approaches. Journal of Archaeological Science, 30, 1577-1598, 2003.

[37] M. A. Levine, « Archaeo-Zoological Analysis of Some Upper Pleistocene Horse Bone Assemblages in Western Europe », PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge, 372 pages, 1979.

[38] R. R. Sokal, F. J. Rohlf, Biometry, the principles and practice of statistics in biological research, Freeman and Co, New-York, 859 pages, 1981.

[39] P. Fernandez, De l'estimation de l'âge individuel dentaire au modèle descriptif des structures d'âge des cohortes fossiles : l'exemple des Equidae et du time-specific model en contextes paléobiologiques pléistocènes. Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française, 106, 5-14, 2009.

[40] L. Gourichon « Faune et saisonnalité. L'organisation temporelle des activités de subsistance dans l'Epipaléolithique et le Néolithique précéramique du Levant nord (Syrie) », Thèse de doctorat, Université Lumière-Lyon 2, 755 pages, 2004.

[41] P. Fernandez, J. L. Guadelli, P. Fosse, Applying dynamics and comparing life tables for Pleistocene Equidae in anthropic contexts (Bau de l'Aubesier, Combe Grenal) and Carnivore (Fouvent) contexts with modern feral Horse populations (Akagera, Pryor Mountain). Journal of Archaeological Science, 33, 176-184, 2006.

[42] S. Q. Zhang, Z. Y., Zhang, X. Gao, Mortality profiles of the large herbivores from the Lingjing Xuchang Man Site, Henan Province and the early emergence of the modern human behaviors in East Asia. Chinese Science Bulletin, 54, 3857-3863, 2009.

[43] P. Fernandez, N. Boulbes, Analyse démographique des cohortes fossiles du cheval pléistocène moyen de Romain-la-Roche (Doubs, France), Revue de Paléobiologie, Genève, 29, 771-801, 2010.

[44] Z. Y. Li, S. Q. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Gao, Mortality Curves for Horses (*Equus caballus*) from the Lingjing Site, Henan Province. Acta Anthropologica Sinica, 30, 45-54, 2011.

[45] M. A. Julien, F. Rivals, J. Serangeli, H. Bocherens, N. J. Conard, A new approach for deciphering between single and multiple accumulation events using intra-tooth isotopic variations : Application to the Middle Pleistocene bone bed of Schöningen 13 II-4. Journal of Human Evolution, 89, 114-128, 2015.

[46] A. R. Millard, « A Bayesian Approach to Ageing Sheep/Goats from Toothwear », Recent Advances in Ageing and Sexing Animal Bones 9th ICAZ Conference, ed. D. Ruscillo Durham, 145-154, 2002.

[47] L. W. Konigsberg, D. Holman, « Estimation of age at death from dental emergence and implications for studies of prehistoric somatic growth », Human Growth in the Past, eds R. D. Hoppa, C. M. Fitzgerald, Cambridge University Press, 264-289, 1999.

[48] J. P. Bocquet-Appel, C. Masset, Farewell to palaeodemography. Journal of Human Evolution, 11, 321-333, 1982.

[49] P. Fandos, J.F. Orueta, Y. Aranda, Tooth wear and its relation to kind of food : the repercussion on age criteria in *Capra pyrenaica*. Acta Theriologica, 38, Bielowiza, 93-102, 1993.

[50] M. Fortelius, N. Solounias, Functional characterization of Ungulate molars using the abrasion-attrition wear gradient : a new method for reconstructing paleodiets. American Museum Novitates, 3301, 1-36, 2000.

[51] F. Rivals, N. Solounias, M. C. Mihlbachler, Evidence for geographic variation in the diets of late Pleistocene and early Holocene *Bison* in North America, and differences from the diets of recent *Bison*. Quaternary Research, 68, 338-346, 2007.

[52] N. Solounias, G. M. Semprebon, Advances in the reconstruction of Ungulate ecomorphology with application to early fossil Equids. American Museum Novitates, 3366, 1-49, 2002.

[53] F. Rivals, N. Solounias, Differences in tooth microwear of populations of caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*, Ruminantia, Mammalia) and implications to ecology, migration, glaciations and dental evolution. Journal of Mammalian Evolution, 14, 182-192, 2007.

[54] F. Rivals, M. A. Julien, M. Kuitems, T. Van Kolfschoten, J. Serangeli, D. G. Drucker, H. Bocherens, N. J. Conard, Investigation of equid paleodiet from Schöningen 13 II-4 through dental wear and isotopic analyses : Archaeological implications. Journal of Human Evolution, 89, 129-137, 2002.

[55] G. Haynes, Proboscidean die-offs and die-outs : age profiles in fossil collections, Journal of Archaeological Science, 14, 6, 659-668, 1987.

[56] C. A. Spinage, A review of age determination of Mammals by means of teeth, with special reference to Africa, East African Wildlife Journal, 11, 165-187, 1973.

[57] R. L. Lyman, On the analysis of Vertebrate mortality profiles : sample size, mortality type and hunting pressure. American Antiquity, 52, 125-142, 1987.

[58] R. L. Lyman, "Taphonomy of Cervids killed by the 18 may 1980 volcanic eruption of Mount St. Helens, Washington", *In* Bone modification, eds R. Bonnischsen, M. Sorg, Center for the Study of the First Americans, University of Maine, 149-167, 1989.

[59] C. A. Spinage, African Ungulate life tables. Ecology, 53, 645-652, 1972.

[60] D. A. Byers, B. L. Hill, Pronghorn Dental Age Profiles and Holocene Hunting Strategies at Hogup Cave, Utah. American Antiquity, 74, 299-321, 2009.

[61] T. E. Steele, Comparing methods for analysing mortality profiles in zooarchaeological and paleontological samples. International Journal of Osteoarchaeology, 15, 1-17, 2005.

[62] H. J. Greenfield, The Paleoeconomy of the Central Balkans (Serbia) : A Zooarchaeological Perspective on The Late Neolithic and Bronze Age (ca. 4500-1000 B.C.). Part I and II, BAR International Series, Oxford. 513 pages, 1986.

[63] H. J. Greenfield, The origins of milk and wool production in the Old World. Current Anthropology 29, 573-593, 1988.

[64] J. D. Vigne, D. Helmer, Was milk a "secondary product" in the Old World neolithisation process ? Its role in the domestication of cattle, sheep and goats. Anthropozoologica, 47, 9-40, 2007.

[65] J. E. Brochier, The use and abuse of culling profiles in recent zooarchaeological studies. Some methodological comments on "frequency correction" and its consequences. Journal of Archaeological Sciences, 40, 1416-1420, 2013.

[66] M. C. Stiner, The use of mortality patterns in archaeological studies of hominid predatory adaptations. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, 9, 305-351, 1990.

[67] T. E Steele, T. D. Weaver, The modified triangular graph : a refined method for comparing mortality profiles in archaeological samples. Journal of Archaeological Science, 29, 317-322, 2002.

[68] T. D Weaver, R. H. Boyko, T. E Steele, Cross-platform program for likelihood-based statistical comparisons of mortality profiles on a triangular graph. Journal of Archaeological Science, 38, 2420-2423, 2011.

[69] E. Discamps, S. Costamagno, Improving mortality profile analysis in zooarchaeology : a revised zoning for ternary diagrams, Journal of Archaeological Science, 58, 62-76, 2015.

[70] B. J. Nimmo, Population Dynamics of a Wyoming Pronghor Cohort from the Eden-Farson Site, 48SW304. Plains Anthropologist, 16, 285-288, 1971.

[71] C. N. G. Trueman, A. K. Behrensmeyer, N. Tuross, S. Weiner, Mineralogical and compositional changes in bones exposed on soil surfaces in Amboseli National Park, Kenya : diagenetic mechanisms and the role of sediment pore fluids. Journal of Archaeological Science, 31, 721-739, 2004

[72] J. L. Guadelli, La gélifraction des restes fauniques. Expérimentation et transfert au fossile. Annales de Paléontologie, 94, 121-165, 2008.

[73] P. Andrews, J. Cook, Natural Modifications to Bones in a Temperate Setting. Man, 20, new series, 675-691, 1985.

[74] L. R Binford, Nunamiut ethnoarchaeology, Academic Press, New York, 509 pages, 1978.

[75] H. T Bunn, L. E Bartram, E. M. Kroll, Variability in bone assemblage formation from Hadza hunting, scavenging, and carcass processing. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, 7, 412-457, 1988.

[76] R. J. Blumenschine, "Prey size and age models of prehistoric hominid scavenging : test cases from the Serengeti", *In* Human predators and prey mortality, ed. M. C. Stiner, Westview press, Boulder, 122-147, 1991.

[77] C. W. Marean, Hunter/gatherer foraging strategies in tropical grasslands : model building and testing in the East African Middle and Late Stone Age. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, 16, 189-225, 1997.

[78] M. A. Levine, "The use of crown height measurements and eruption-wear sequences to age Horse teeth", *In* Ageing and sexing animal bones from archaeological sites, eds B. Wilson, C. Grigson, S. Payne, British Archaeological Reports, International Series, , 109, Oxford, 223-250, 1982.

[79] M. A. Levine, "Mortality models and the interpretation of Horse population structure", *In* Hunter-gatherer economy in Prehistory : a European perspective, ed G. Bailey, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 23-46, 1983.

[80] R. Pearl, J. R. Miner, Experimental studies on the duration of life. XIV. The comparative mortality of certain lower organisms. The Quaterly Review of Biology, 10, 60-79, 1935.

[81] E. S. Deevey, Life tables for natural populations of animals, The Quaterly Review of Biology, 22, 283-314, 1947.

[82] L. Van Valen, Selection in natural populations : *Merychippus primus*, a fossil Horse, Nature, 4873, 197, 1181-1183, 1963.

[83] L. Van Valen, Age in two fossil Horse populations, Acta Zoologica, vol. 45, 93-106, 1964.

[84] L. Van Valen, Selection in natural populations. III. Measurement and estimation, Evolution, 19, 514-528, 1965.

[85] M. R. Voorhies, Taphonomy and population dynamics of an Early Pliocene Vertebrate fauna, Knox County, Nebraska. Contributions to Geology, Special Paper n° 1, University of Wyoming, Laramie, 1-69, 1969.

[86] J. D. Speth, *Bison* kills and bone counts : decision making by ancient hunters. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 227 pages, 1983.

[87] G. C. Frison, The Carter/Kerr-Mcgee Paleoindian Site : CulturalResource Management and Archaeological Research. American Antiquity 49, 288-314, 1984.

[88] H. Koike, N. Ohtaishi, Estimation of prehistoric hunting rates based on the age composition of Sika Deer (*Cervus nippon*). Journal of Archaeological Science, 14, 251-269, 1987.

[89] M. C. Mihlbachler, Demography of late Miocene rhinoceroses (*Teleoceras proterum* and *Aphelops malacorhinus*) from Florida : linking mortality and sociality in fossil assemblages. Paleobiology, 29, 412-428, 2003.

[90] H. Monchot, P. Fernandez, J. M. Gaillard, Palaeodemographic analysis of a fossil porcupine (*Hystrix refossa* Gervais, 1852) population from the Upper Pleistocene site of Geula Cave (Mount Carmel, Israel). Journal of Archaeological Science, 39, 3027-3038, 2012.

[91] M. Price, J. Wolfhagen, E. Otárola-Castillo, Confidence intervals in the analysis of mortality andsurvivorship curves in zooarchaeology. American Antiquity, 81, 157-173, 2016.

[92] J. M. Gaillard, A. Loison, C. Toïgo, "Variation in life history traits and realistic population models for wildlife management. The case of ungulates", *In* Animal Behavior and Wildlife Conservation, eds. M. Festa-Bianchet, M. Apollonio, Island Press, Washington, 115-132, 2003.

[93] G. Caughley, Mortality patterns in mammals. Ecology, 47, 906-918, 1966.

[94] C. J. Krebs, Ecology : the experimental analysis of distribution and abundance. Benjamin Cummings, San Francisco, 695 pages, 2001.

[95] R. E. Ricklefs, The economy of nature, Freeman and Co, New-York, 678 pages, 1997.

[96] R. E. Ricklefs, G. L. Miller, Ecology, fourth ed. Freeman, New York, 822 pages, 1999.

[97] A. R. E. Sinclair, The natural regulation of buffalo populations in East Africa. III. Population trends and mortality. East African Wildlife Journal, 12, 185-200, 1974.

[98] G. Caughley, Analysis of Vertebrate Populations, Caldwell, New Jersey, 234 pages, 1977.

[99] G. Caughley, A. R. E. Sinclair, Wildlife Ecology and management, Blackwell Science, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, 334 pages, 1994.

[100] J. M. Gaillard, M. Festa-Bianchet, N. Yoccoz, Population dynamics of large herbivores : variable recruitment with constant adult survival. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 13, 58-63, 1998.

[101] D. R. McCullough, F. W. Weckerly, P. I. Garcia, R. R. Evett, Sources of inaccuracy in black-tailed deer herd composition. Journal of Wildlife Management, 58, 319-329, 1994.

[102] G. E. Menkens, M. S. Boyce, Comments on the use of time-specific and cohort life tables. Ecology, 74, 2164-2168, 1993.

[103] H. Caswell, Matrix Population Models : Construction, Analysis and Interpretation, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, 722 pages, 2001.

[104] G. Rodríguez-Gómez, A. Mateos, J. A. Martín-González, R. Blasco, J. Rosell, J. Rodríguez, Discontinuity of Human Presence at Atapuerca during the Early Middle Pleistocene : A Matter of Ecological Competition ? PLoS ONE 9(7) : e101938. doi :10.1371/journal.pone.0101938, 2014a.

[105] G. Rodríguez-Gómez, J. A. Martín-González ; I. Goikoetxea, A. Mateos ; J. Rodríguez, "A New Mathematical Approach to Model Trophic Dynamics of Mammalian Palaeocommunities. The Case of Atapuerca-TD6" *In* Mathematics of Planet Earth. Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference of the International Association for Mathematical Geosciences, Lecture Notes in Earth System Sciences, (Apéndice G), Ed. Springer, ISSN 2193-8571, ISBN 978-3-642-32407-9, Madrid, 739-745, 2014b.

[106] J. A. Martín González, A. Mateos, G. Rodríguez-Gómez, J. Rodríguez, "Fitting a Survival Model to Describe the Age Structure of Fossil Populations". XVII World UISPP Congress 2014, Burgos, p. 731, 2014.

[107] J. A. Martín González, A. Mateos, G. Rodríguez-Gómez, J. Rodríguez, A parametrical model to describe a stable and stationary age structure for fossil populations. Quaternary International, http ://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2016.01.038. (2016, in press).

[108] G. Rodríguez-Gómez, « Modelización de la disponibilidad de recursos tróficos para las poblaciones paleolíticas de cazadores-recolectores », Phd, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona, 289 pages, 2015.

[109] N. Keyfitz, H. Caswell, Applied mathematical demography, Third Ed., Springer, New York, 555 pages, 2005.

[110] H. Bernardelli, Population waves. Journal of the Burma Research Society, 31, 1-18, 1941.

[111] E. G. Lewis, On the generation and the growth of a population. Sankhya 6, 93-96, 1942.

[112] P. H. Leslie, On the use of matrices in certain population mathematics. Biometrika, 33, 183-212, 1945.

[113] P. H. Leslie, Some further notes on the use of matrices in population mathematics. Biometrika, 35, 213-245, 1948.

[114] L. P. Lefkovitch, The study of population growth in organisms grouped by stages. Biometrics, 21, 1-18, 1965.

[115] M. B. Usher, A Matrix Approach to the Management of Renewable Resources, with Special Reference to Selection Forests. Journal of Applied Ecology 3, 2, 355-367, 1966.

[116] G. M. Hood, PopTools version 3.0.6. Available on the internet, 2008. URL http ://www.cse.csiro.au/poptools, 2008.

[117] L. L. Eberhardt, Population projections from simple models. Journal of Applied Ecology, 24, 103-118, 1987.

[118] R. A. Garrott., L. Taylor, Dynamics of a Feral Horse Population in Montana. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 54, 4, 603-612, 1990.

[119] P. Duncan, Horses and Grasses. The Nutritional Ecology of Equids and Their Impacts on the Camargue. Ecological Studies, Verlag, New York, 1-287, 1992.

[120] E. Z. Cameron, W. L. Linklater, E. O. Minot & K. J. Stafford, Population dynamics 1994-98, and management, of Kaimanawa wild horses. Science for Conservation, Department of Conservation, Wellington, New Zealand, 171, p. 1-165, 2000.

[121] M. J. Walter, The Population Ecology of Wild Horses in the Australian Alps. Phd Thesis, Applied Ecology Research Group, ACT 2601, University of Canberra, p. 1-179, 2002.

[122] H. Caswell, R. J. Naiman, R. Morin, Evaluating the consequences of reproduction in complex salmonid life cycles. Aquaculture, 43, 123-134, 1984.

[123] H. De Kroon, A. Plaisier, J.M. van Groenendael, H. Caswell, Elasticity : the relative contribution of demographic parameters to population growth rate. Ecology 67, 1427-1431, 1986.

[124] H. Monchot, P. Fernandez, J.M. Gaillard, Palaeodemographic analysis of a fossil porcupine (*Hystrix refossa* Gervais, 1852) population from the Upper Pleistocene site of Geula Cave (Mount Carmel, Israel). Journal of Archaeological Science, 39, 9, 3027-3038, 2012.

[125] J. M. Gaillard, M. Festa-Bianchet, N. Yoccoz, Population dynamics of large herbivores : variable recruitment with constant adult survival. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 58-63, 1998.

[126] E. R. Pianka, On r and K selection. American Naturalist,104, 940, 592-597, 1970.

[127] M. S. Boyce, Restitution of r- and K-Selection as a Model of Density-Dependent Natural Selection, Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 15, 427-447, 1984.

Table des matières

Collection Sciences archéologiques

TaphonomieS

Ouvrage du Groupement de recherches 3591
« Taphonomie, Environnement et Archéologie », CNRS-INEE

Sous la direction de Jean-Philip Brugal

Des ossements d'éléphant dans une grotte ; de bison dans un dépôt lacustre ; des restes humains et des outils lithiques associés à des fossiles de lions ou d'hyènes… Éléphant cavernicole, bison aquatique, chasseurs ou chassés ? Ces exemples préhistoriques nous interpellent quant à leurs origines et aux associations qu'ils suscitent.

Comment ces accumulations du passé touchant un vaste éventail d'objets (faune, flore, productions humaines…) se sont-elles constituées et conservées au cours du temps, durant des centaines, des milliers, ou des millions d'années ? C'est dans cette perspective que se placent les études en taphonomie, largement pluri- et inter-disciplinaires, intégrant de multiples approches scientifiques. L'ouvrage décline ces approches dans le cadre temporel du Quaternaire (les trois derniers millions d'années environ), contemporain de l'apparition et de l'évolution de la lignée humaine et des grandes glaciations de l'hémisphère nord de la planète.

Depuis la molécule et la cellule jusqu'aux organismes, parcellaires ou complets, la taphonomie – ou plutôt les « TaphonomieS » –, science plurielle, forme un nouveau champ d'analyses particulièrement fécond et fédérateur. Ce livre a pour objectif à la fois d'en révéler la démarche et de présenter un certain nombre d'études conduites par des équipes françaises. Du passé au présent, et vice-versa, cette « nouvelle » discipline devrait et doit intéresser non seulement tous les chercheurs et étudiants travaillant sur des vestiges du passé selon des reconstitutions systémiques, mais aussi les acteurs actuels de nos sociétés « anthropocènes », productrices de nombreux déchets et vestiges qui nous survivront, on ne sait dans quelles conditions et états… ?

L'ouvrage concrétise les travaux du groupement de recherches « Taphonomie, Environnement et Archéologie » (TaphEnA) du CNRS-INEE. Divisé en six parties, il regroupe vingt-sept chapitres rédigés par quarante-cinq auteurs de diverses spécialités des sciences de la Terre, de la vie, et des sciences humaines.

Avec les contributions de William E. Banks, Cedric Beauval, Pascal Bertran, Olivier Bignon-Lau, Christophe Bonnenfant, Jean-Guillaume Bordes, Laurent Bouby, Nicolas Boulbes, Jean-Philip Brugal, Dominique Castex, Julia Chrzavzez, Dries Cnuts, David Cochard, Patrice Courtaud, Jean-Pierre Cuif, Camille Daujeard, Yannicke Dauphin, Claire Delhon, Alain Denis, Christiane Denys, Henri Duday, Philippe Fernandez, Jean-Baptiste Fourvel, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Jean-Bernard Huchet, Sacha Kacki, Vincent Lebreton, Pierre Magniez, Bruno Maureille, Pierre Massard, Anne Marie Moigne, Hervé Monchot, Paul Palmqvist, M. Patrocinio Espigares, Alain Person, William Rendu, Veerle Rots, Marie-Pierre Ruas, Géraldine Sachau-Carcel, Loïc Ségalen, Isabelle Thery-Parisot, Vera Tiesler, Dominique Todisco, Aurore Val et Luc Vallin.

Prix public : 67 euros
ISBN : 9782813002419
9 782813 002419

éditions
des archives
contemporaines